## School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| School Name | County-District-School <br> (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council <br> (SSC) Approval Date | Local Board Approval <br> Date |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woodland Senior High <br> School | 57727105738802 | May 7, 2019 | June 27, 2019 |

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)
Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.
The School Wide Plan meets the ESSA requirements through:
"We began the process in February with a team from WHS and the district staff walking us through data analysis as well as a needs assessment. From there, we went back to site in April and began working with the leadership team, department chairs and the whole staff. On May 1, 2019 we opened a google classroom and created even more input based on the data and site initiatives. The ELAC (English learner advisory committee) received the site plan overview in their April meeting as well."

The school wide plan addresses parent and family engagement by conducting outreach to all parents and family members, including:
a school and family engagement policy
a school and parent compact that addresses shared responsibility for high student academic achievement, and building capacity for involvement.

## Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

A comprehensive needs assessment process was conducted at multiple levels. Initially, Woodland High School (WHS) attended a needs assessment with district personnel on February 14, 2019. During this time, staff engaged in looking at WHS Dashboard data to identify areas of strength, weakness, and developed change ideas. This same process was emulated at the site with certificated staff during a staff meeting on February 6, 2019. Staff engaged in looking at WHS Dashboard data and worked in subject area teams to determine needs and recommend change ideas. WHS's English Learner Project Specialist worked with the English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) over multiple meetings in the spring to engage parents in a needs assessment. Change ideas were communicated to administration. WHS'S site principal worked with School Site Council (SSC) to engage parents in a needs assessment. Change ideas were communicated to administration. Through the needs assessment process, it became clear that there needed to be a focus on math achievement for all students, and continuing to work on engagement of all students. Change ideas around this topic were recommended to administration by multiple stakeholder groups (teachers, ELAC, SSC).

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.
N/A

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment <br> Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
| American Indian | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.78\% | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| African American | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 1.25\% | 14 | 14 | 16 |
| Asian | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 3.27\% | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| Filipino | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.23\% | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 67.8\% | 67.2\% | 68.64\% | 894 | 871 | 882 |
| Pacific Islander | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.31\% | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| White | 25.9\% | 25.6\% | 22.96\% | 341 | 332 | 295 |
| Multiple/No Response | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.17\% | 8 | 10 | 15 |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 1,318 | 1,296 | 1285 |

## Student Enrollment

 Enrollment By Grade Level| Grade |  | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students |  |  |  |
| Grade 9 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ |  |
| Grade 10 | 361 | 346 | 323 |  |
| Grade 11 | 353 | 352 | 339 |  |
| Grade 12 | 302 | 327 | 324 |  |
| Total Enrollment | 300 | 267 | 285 |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of students identifying as Latino/ Hispanic has increased from $67.8 \%$ to $68.64 \%$
2. Our enrollment has decreased over the last three years, although 2018-2019 there are slightly more students.
3. It is evident that the need of a multi-cultural lens in addressing the needs of our learners.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  |  | Percent of Students |  |  |
|  | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
| English Learners | 161 | 136 | 127 | 12.2\% | 10.5\% | 9.9\% |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 524 | 529 | 547 | 39.8\% | 40.8\% | 42.6\% |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 16 | 37 | 17 | 9.9\% | 23.0\% | 12.5\% |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. There has been a steady decrease of English Language Learners at WHS.
2. The number of Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) students have increased.
3. With the decrease of English learners to single digit, and the increase of RFEP students, it infers the instructional practices being utilized is helping with second language acquisition.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 11 | 280 | 295 | 316 | 266 | 282 | 304 | 263 | 281 | 304 | 95 | 95.6 | 96.2 |
| All Grades | 280 | 295 | 316 | 266 | 282 | 304 | 263 | 281 | 304 | 95 | 95.6 | 96.2 |


| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Standard } \\ \text { Met } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 11 | 2563. | 2577. | 2571. | 14 | 14.95 | 18.75 | 31 | 37.37 | 27.63 | 26 | 28.83 | 30.26 | 29 | 18.86 | 23.36 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 14 | 14.95 | 18.75 | 31 | 37.37 | 27.63 | 26 | 28.83 | 30.26 | 29 | 18.86 | 23.36 |

Reading
Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 11 | 17 | 23.13 | 23.68 | 54 | 53.38 | 48.36 | 29 | 23.49 | 27.96 |
| All Grades | 17 | 23.13 | 23.68 | 54 | 53.38 | 48.36 | 29 | 23.49 | 27.96 |


| Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 11 | 23 | 24.56 | 25.33 | 43 | 51.96 | 45.07 | 34 | 23.49 | 29.61 |
| All Grades | 23 | 24.56 | 25.33 | 43 | 51.96 | 45.07 | 34 | 23.49 | 29.61 |


| Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 11 | 14 | 16.37 | 15.46 | 63 | 66.90 | 67.11 | 22 | 16.73 | 17.43 |
| All Grades | 14 | 16.37 | 15.46 | 63 | 66.90 | 67.11 | 22 | 16.73 | 17.43 |


| Research/Inquiry <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |  |
| Grade 11 | 27 | 24.56 | 25.99 | 52 | 53.02 | 49.67 | 21 | 22.42 | 24.34 |  |
| All Grades | 27 | 24.56 | 25.99 | 52 | 53.02 | 49.67 | 21 | 22.42 | 24.34 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. There was a slight decrease of overall achievement for students that met or exceeding standards, $3 \%$ points.
2. English language arts CAASPP results for $17-18$ show that $46.38 \%$ of students are either meeting standard or exceeding standard overall, which is higher than $45 \%$ of students in that category in 15-16.
3. All sub domains decreased, without specifically one outlier that adversely affected scores- it should be noted that reading/writing were the lowest of the domains.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 11 | 280 | 295 | 316 | 266 | 283 | 303 | 264 | 283 | 302 | 95 | 95.9 | 95.9 |
| All Grades | 280 | 295 | 316 | 266 | 283 | 303 | 264 | 283 | 302 | 95 | 95.9 | 95.9 |


| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Standard } \\ \text { Met } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 11 | 2517. | 2535. | 2522. | 3 | 3.89 | 4.97 | 11 | 16.61 | 13.25 | 25 | 24.73 | 22.52 | 61 | 54.77 | 59.27 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3 | 3.89 | 4.97 | 11 | 16.61 | 13.25 | 25 | 24.73 | 22.52 | 61 | 54.77 | 59.27 |

## Concepts \& Procedures

Applying mathematical concepts and procedures

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 11 | 9 | 8.48 | 9.60 | 25 | 26.50 | 22.52 | 66 | 65.02 | 67.88 |
| All Grades | 9 | 8.48 | 9.60 | 25 | 26.50 | 22.52 | 66 | 65.02 | 67.88 |


| Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 11 | 5 | 6.01 | 7.95 | 45 | 41.34 | 41.39 | 50 | 52.65 | 50.66 |
| All Grades | 5 | 6.01 | 7.95 | 45 | 41.34 | 41.39 | 50 | 52.65 | 50.66 |


| Communicating Reasoning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ |
| Grade 11 | 9 | 10.25 | 7.62 | 53 | 56.18 | 50.00 | 38 | 33.57 | 42.38 |
| All Grades | 9 | 10.25 | 7.62 | 53 | 56.18 | 50.00 | 38 | 33.57 | 42.38 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. $20 \%$ met or exceeding the standards.
2. Problem solving and modeling data analysis are biggest area of need with concepts and procedures following behind.
3. Intensive training for math teachers surrounding common core and inquiry based math is needed. Continuity in communication surrounding expectations of curriculum usage.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| 2017-18 Summative Assessment Data <br>  <br> Grade <br> Level |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | Oral Language | Written Language | Number of <br> Students Tested |  |
| Grade 9 | 1496.3 | 1505.4 | 1486.7 | 32 |
| Grade 10 | 1490.0 | 1480.1 | 1499.4 | 32 |
| Grade 11 | 1524.1 | 1515.2 | 1532.5 | 31 |
| Grade 12 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| All Grades |  |  |  | 105 |

Overall Language
Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
| Grade 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32 |
| Grade 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12 | 37.50 | 32 |
| Grade 11 | * | * | 12 | 38.71 | * | * | * | * | 31 |
| Grade 12 |  |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Grades | 18 | 17.14 | 31 | 29.52 | 27 | 25.71 | 29 | 27.62 | 105 |

Oral Language
Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade <br> Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |  |
| Grade 9 | 12 | 37.50 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 32 |
| Grade 10 | 12 | 37.50 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 11 | 34.38 | 31 |
| Grade 11 | 11 | 35.48 | 13 | 41.94 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Grade 12 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| All Grades | 36 | 34.29 | 31 | 29.52 | 15 | 14.29 | 23 | 21.90 | 105 |


| Written Language <br> Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |
| Level | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
| Grade 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 18 | 56.25 | 32 |
| Grade 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 | 53.13 | 32 |
| Grade 11 |  |  | * | * | 15 | 48.39 | * | * | 31 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Grades | * | * | 15 | 14.29 | 38 | 36.19 | 49 | 46.67 | 105 |


| Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of <br> Students |
| Grade 9 | 11 | 34.38 | $*$ | $*$ | 12 | 37.50 | 32 |
| Grade 10 | $*$ | $*$ | 12 | 37.50 | 11 | 34.38 | 32 |
| Grade 11 | $*$ | $*$ | 17 | 54.84 | $*$ | $*$ | 31 |
| Grade 12 |  |  | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| All Grades | 26 | 24.76 | 42 | 40.00 | 37 | 35.24 | 105 |


| Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of <br> Students |
| Grade 9 | 18 | 56.25 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 32 |
| Grade 10 | 15 | 46.88 | $*$ | $*$ | 12 | 37.50 | 32 |
| Grade 11 | 18 | 58.06 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 31 |
| Grade 12 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| All Grades | 56 | 53.33 | 26 | 24.76 | 23 | 21.90 | 105 |


| Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of <br> Students |
| Grade 9 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 25 | 78.13 | 32 |
| Grade 10 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 20 | 62.50 | 32 |
| Grade 11 |  |  | 12 | 38.71 | 19 | 61.29 | 31 |
| Grade 12 |  |  | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| All Grades | $*$ | $*$ | 28 | 26.67 | 73 | 69.52 | 105 |

## Writing Domain

| Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of <br> Students |
| Grade 9 | $*$ | $*$ | 15 | 46.88 | 11 | 34.38 | 32 |
| Grade 10 | $*$ | $*$ | 19 | 59.38 | $*$ | $*$ | 32 |
| Grade 11 | $*$ | $*$ | 21 | 67.74 | $*$ | $*$ | 31 |
| Grade 12 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| All Grades | 17 | 16.19 | 63 | 60.00 | 25 | 23.81 | 105 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Roughly $28 \%$ of students are a level $1,25 \%$ level $2,30 \%$ level 3 and $17 \%$ level 4.
2. Area of greatest need is in written language, and there is need or practice oral language to help transfer to written.
3. Reading is also an area of need, and having texts in both Spanish/English would help with acquisition.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2017-18 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Enrollment | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | English <br> Learners | Foster <br> Youth |
| 1,285 | $65.2 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |

This is the total number of students enrolled.

This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.

This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.


This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

| 2017-18 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 127 | $9.9 \%$ |
| Foster Youth | 16 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Homeless | 25 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 838 | $65.2 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | 154 | $12.0 \%$ |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| African American | 16 | $1.2 \%$ |
| American Indian | 10 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Asian | 42 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Filipino | 3 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 882 | $68.6 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | 18 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 4 | $0.3 \%$ |
| White | 295 | $23.0 \%$ |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. We are below $10 \%$ of students who are English Learners, which is a data point that is trending downwards.
2. In 2017-2018 there were roughly $65 \%$ of students identified as socioeconomically disadvantaged.
3. Professional development targeted to address the needs of socioeconomically disadvantaged students, would not only bring about awareness but also strategies to support their needs.

Overall Performance

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

| Academic Performance |
| :---: |
| English Language Arts |
| Orange |



| Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: |
| Suspension Rate |
| Yellow |

## Mathematics

K
Orange

English Learner Progress


No Performance Color

College/Career


Green

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. WHS suspension data significantly decreased for the third straight year, and dis-proportionality is almost non existent.
2. Mathematics is the greatest area of need site wide, with English language arts also needing a push to get passed the $2 / 3$ met or exceeded.
3. Graduation rates and College/Career indicators are both strong.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group


Students with Disabilities


No Performance Color
130 points below standard
Declined -5.1 points

22 students

## 2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color <br> Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 5 students | No Performance Color 0 Students | No Performance Color <br> 1.6 points below standard <br> 13 students | No Performance Color 0 Students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| Orange | No Performance Color | No Performance Color |  |
| 17.2 points below standard | Less than 11 Students - Data | Less than 11 Students - Data | 20.5 points above standard |
| Declined -8.7 points | 2 students | 1 students | Increased 6.1 points |
| 206 students |  |  | 60 students |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125.3 points below standard | 81.7 points below standard | 19.9 points above standard |
| Increased 47.1 points | Declined -5.8 points | Increased 15 points |
| 23 students | 24 students | 130 students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. English Learners increased 47.1 points on the ELA dashboards - but still significantly below standards.
2. Overall WHS is 8 points away from the standard for all students.
3. Socioeconomically disadvantage students decreased on English language arts achievement by 6 points, but are only 30 points below the standard.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance

Mathematics
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2018 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2018 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 218.9 points below standard |
| Declined -45.7 points |
| 23 students |



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 214.5 points below standard | 188.8 points below standard | 85.6 points below standard |
| Declined -7.3 points | Declined -49.9 points | Declined - 3.5 points |
| 25 students | 24 students | 130 students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Socioeconomically disadvantaged students dropped fifteen points and are significantly below the standard.
2. English learners dropped 40 points in math achievement.
3. Overall, each sub group declined and math has become the greatest area of need.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percent of students performing at each level on the new English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) assessment. With the transition ELPAC, the 2018 Dashboard is unable to report a performance level (color) for this measure.

2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Proficiency Assessments for California Results

| Number of Students | Level 4 Well Developed | Level 3 Moderately Developed | Level 2 Somewhat Developed | Level 1 Beginning Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | 17.1\% | 29.5\% | 25.7\% | 27.6\% |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. English Learner graduation rates are strong - continue with programs to support EL's including tutoring, mentoring and communicating with families.
2. Suspensions rates are considered "very high" but should be noted that there was a $6.2 \%$ decrease in suspensions continue to look at the "why" behind suspensions.
3. English learner progress increased but is still an area of need - work with the district to ensure supports are adequate and targeted.

## School and Student Performance Data <br> Academic Performance <br> College/Career

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue
Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| Green |
| $37.4 \%$ prepared |
| Increased 2.3\% |
| 294 students |


| English Learners |
| :---: |
| Red |
| 5.7\% prepared |
| Maintained 1.9\% |
| 35 students |


| Foster Youth |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not |
| Displayed for Privacy |
| 6 students |
|  |


| Homeless |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 11.1\% prepared |
| Declined $-22.2 \%$ |
| 18 students |


| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| $31.9 \%$ prepared |
| Maintained $1.9 \%$ |
| 216 students |


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Red |
| $0 \%$ prepared |
| Declined $-15.2 \%$ |
| 34 students |


No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
10 students


| White |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 45.3\% prepared |
| Increased $5.1 \%$ |
| 75 students |

This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance

| Class of 2016 | Class of 2017 | Class of 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40.2\% Prepared | 35.1 Prepared | 37.4 Prepared |
| 31.6\% Approaching Prepared | 25.7 Approaching Prepared | 20.7 Approaching Prepared |
| 28.2\% Not Prepared | 39.1 Not Prepared | 41.8 Not Prepared |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Less than half of our students are considered college and career ready.
2. Students with disabilities, homeless, socioeconomically disadvantage groups need additional support to increase achievement.
3. There were more students in 2016 prepared than in 2018.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement

Chronic Absenteeism
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red |  | Gellow | Highest <br> Perfformance |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2018 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
|  |  |  |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$
2. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$
3. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| Green |
| $93.5 \%$ graduated |
| Increased $+4.8 \%$ |
| 294 students |


| English Learners |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 85.7\% graduated |
| Increased $+4.9 \%$ |
| 35 students |


| Foster Youth |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not |
| Displayed for Privacy |
| 6 students |
|  |


| Homeless |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| $94.4 \%$ graduated |
| Increased $+7.8 \%$ |
| 18 students |


| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |
| :---: |
| Blue |
| $93.1 \%$ graduated |
| Increased $+5.4 \%$ |
| 216 students |


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| $73.5 \%$ graduated |
| Declined $-5.3 \%$ |
| 34 students |

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity



This section provides a view of the percentage of students who received a high school diploma within four years of entering ninth grade or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year

| 2017 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $88.8 \%$ graduated | $\square 93.5 \%$ graduated |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Graduation rates are high compared to schools of like demographics-socioeconomically disadvantaged students show a slightly lower success rate.
2. Socioeconomically impacted students' graduation rates increased $5.4 \%$.
3. English Learners' graduation rate increased 4.9\%. .

## School and Student Performance Data <br> Conditions \& Climate Suspension Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group


| Foster Youth |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 34.6\% suspended at least once |
| Declined $-7.1 \%$ |
| 26 students |

Students with Disabilities


Yellow
9.3\% suspended at least once

Declined -1\%
172 students

2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color | No Performance Color |  | No Performance Color |
| $18.2 \%$ suspended at least once | $18.2 \%$ suspended at least once | $2.2 \%$ suspended at least once | Less than 11 Students - Data 5 students |
| Declined -1.8\% 22 students | 11 students | Increased 2.2\% 46 students |  |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| $\frac{1 \sim}{\text { Yellow }}$ | No Performance Color | No Performance Color | Orange |
| $6.8 \%$ suspended at least once | $2.9 \%$ suspended at least once | Less than 11 Students - Data 4 students | $5.8 \%$ suspended at least once |
| Declined -2.2\% 931 students | Increased 2.9\% 35 students |  | Increased 0.3\% 309 students |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

## 2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

| 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.8\% suspended at least once | $7.9 \%$ suspended at least once | 6.5\% suspended at least once |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Trends - for the most part the data is trending down, but it should be noted that it is still high for many sub groups.
2. African American - although there are only 15 students identified, there appears to be disproportional suspensions within the identified sub-group.
3. Students with Disabilities - We need to make it standard practice to include making contact with their case worker to ensure it is not a manifestation of their disability prior to delivering a suspension.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All Students will be proficient in Literacy, Numeracy, and 21st Century Skills through high quality, effective teaching and learning practices.

## Goal 1

All Students will be proficient in Literacy, Numeracy, and 21st Century Skills through high quality, effective teaching and learning practices.

## Identified Need

Math achievement is a great area of need for our entire community. Looking critically at the data and crafting goals to address the needs of our students became the priority at site. Professional development as well as release time to work in PLC groups to plan around data is an area of need.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator
Show growth on the English
Language Arts and Math
Academic Indicator.
Percentage of students who
reach growth targets on iReady
(elementary schools) and
NWEA (secondary schools) in
Reading and Math.

Baseline/Actual Outcome
$46 \%$ of students met or exceeded the English Language Arts standards on the SBAC (Smarter Balanced)
$18 \%$ of students met or exceeded the Mathematics standards on the SBAC.

## NWEA scores:

9th grade Math mean - 228
which is 4.2 below the norm 9th grade Reading mean 213.7 which is 7.7 below the norm
9th grade Language mean 216.1 which is 3.6 below the norm

10th grade Math mean - 229 which is 2.5 points below the norm
10th grade Reading mean 213.6 which is 6.4 below the norm
10th grade Language mean 216.7 which is 3 points below the norm

## Expected Outcome

Language arts will increase to $50 \%$ of students meeting or exceeding.

Mathematics will increase to $25 \%$ of students meeting or exceeding.

Increase scores on the NWEA assessment to meet the standardized "mean". Each grade level and each subject has a different mean.

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 11th grade Math mean - 235.7 which is 1.3 points below the norm <br> 11th grade Reading mean 219.2 which is 3.5 points below the norm <br> 11th grade Language mean 220.7 which is 1.4 points below the norm <br> 12th grade Math mean - 247.8 which is 9.5 points ABOVE district mean <br> 12th grade Reading mean 220.5 which is 2.3 below the district mean 12th grade Language mean 222.9 which is .2 below the district mean |  |
| Percentage of Professional Learning Communities (PLC) that analyze student work to implement best practices. | Baseline will be established. | Baseline will be established. |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## ALL Students

## Strategy/Activity

Reinforce Professional Learning Communities (PLC) to support student learning and teacher professional growth. Use of formative analysis to make instructional decisions, utilizing all relevant data points including: course passage, NWEA, common assessments, attendance, engagement, and discipline.

The focus is for students who are identified at risk or, falling withing the achievement gap, and English Learners. Including release time for co-planning, texts to support learning of PLC model, PLC conferences or PD at site around PLC.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

18,891
10,000

## Source(s)

## Supplemental/Concentration

## Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## ALL

## Strategy/Activity

Provide support for students falling in the gap, by lowering class numbers and providing additional resources to monitor and ensure students are progressing to achieve their academic goals. Provide a 9th/10th grade teacher period to monitor students attendance, socio-emotional needs, discipline and academics. One section will be purchased from site funds to support identifying and addressing needs of students.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
16,000.00
Source(s)

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL
Strategy/Activity
Provide remediation and enrichment opportunities for Woodland High students in order to reduce the achievement gap and increase student achievement.

- supplemental materials
- supplies, technology, and copies to support intervention and differentiation of the curriculum
- Learning center support to provide support to students who are struggling academically.


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
38,000.00

22,000.00
40,000.00

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Supplemental/Concentration
Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL
Strategy/Activity
Purchase flexible seating to encourage engagement and access for our students.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
21,022.00

Source(s)
Site Discretionary

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All students will graduate high school and be competitively college and career ready through personalized learning.

## Goal 2

All students will graduate high school and be competitively college and career ready through personalized learning.

## Identified Need

Increase students who are A-G eligible, based on 2018 data showing 19\% prepared. CTE (Career technical education) pathways need alignment and opportunities for internships.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of students |  |
| completing UC/CSU a-g course |  |
| requirements (high school only) |  |
| Number of pathways that result <br> in certification in high demand, <br> local industry sectors |  |

Increase the number of students who are "Prepared" on the College/Career Indicator (high school only)
Increase opportunities for all students to have meaningful participation in the Visual and Performing Arts

Baseline/Actual Outcome
$18.9 \%$ of students completed a-g course requirements

Currently there are 7 pathways that result in a certification at WHS.
WHS had 792 enrolled in a CTE (career technical education) class in 18-19, but only 79 completer students.
$37.4 \%$ of all students are college and career ready based on the dashboard.

Currently we have roughly 110 students who participate in band and drama.

## Expected Outcome

Increase students who have completed the A-G track to 25\%.
Increase participation, specifically in welding which has declined enrollment. Increase the number of completer students to 100.
increase students who are college and career ready to $40 \%$ on the dashboard.

Increase student participation to 150 students.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## ALL

Strategy/Activity
AVID (Advancement via individual determination) Tutors, field-trips, support for subs and cost of training.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

10,000.00
4,000.00

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL

## Strategy/Activity

Attend professional development around literacy, PLC (professional learning communities), Math, Science, Social Studies, CTE or VAPA (visual and performing arts) both off and on site

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
10,000
15,000

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration
Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL

## Strategy/Activity

Our students who participate in our VAPA program will be provided opportunities to perform at festivals, visit colleges, and explore the VAPA world that exists after high school. Through this exposure it will not only allow them to be college and career ready but will provide insight/inspiration to continue their passion.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

10,000.00

## Source(s)

## Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 4

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## ALL CTE Students

## Strategy/Activity

Equipment and supplies for industry relevant instruction

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
10,000

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 5

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
AP (Advanced placement )Students
Strategy/Activity
Subsidize the cost of AP exam for students.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

4,000

## Strategy/Activity 6

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

 (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
## ALL

## Strategy/Activity

Purchase supplemental curriculum and supplies for Science, Math, Social Studies, VAPA, PE, CTE, World Language and English. PE is a state requirement for graduation and we need to ensure there is adequate and safe equipment.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

| 7,973 |
| :--- |
| 20,000 |
| 10,000 |

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected

Supplemental/Concentration
Site Discretionary

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All students will be successful through the development of targeted and coherent systems of support.

## Goal 3

All students will be successful through the development of targeted and coherent systems of support.

## Identified Need

Address the $16 \%$ of students who are identified as chronically absent, increase the sense of school safety to over 50\% and increase ELO (Extended learning opportunities)

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome |
| :--- | :--- |
| Decrease the number of <br> students who are chronically <br> absent. | $16.2 \%$ of students are <br> identified as chronically absent |
| Increase student sense of <br> safety and school <br> connectedness. | CHKS (California Healthy Kids <br> Survey) 2016-2017 35\% of 9th <br> graders and 41\% of 11th <br> graders feel connected to the <br> school |
| 49\% of 9th graders and 57\% of |  |
| 11th graders feel that the |  |
| school is safe. |  |$|$

## Expected Outcome

Decrease to $10 \%$ of students identified as chronically absent.

Increase to 40\% of students feeling connected to school

Increase to 60\% of students feeling the school is safe.

After surveying students to see there interest, establish a menu of extended learning opportunities for our students.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL
Strategy/Activity

By providing a support person in our WOLF room we will work on building relationships with students, decreasing our of class suspensions, providing a space and person to process out of class referrals, and providing an opportunity for student voices to be heard. In addition, through the reflection piece we will provide a way to help restore relationships that have been fractured. Staffing Wolf Room.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
22,000.00

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL
Strategy/Activity
Daily Planners - help students with organization, school connectedness and accountability.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
2,000
2,000

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration
Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## ALL

## Strategy/Activity

The Link Crew program will be utilized for new student orientation and engagement, and antibullying throughout the year. Teacher leaders will train student leaders to run the program for the opening of the school year. Link Crew will also be offered as a year-long course that students can take. Throughout the year these students will lead activities that support the successful transition of new students to WHS. The students and teachers will collaborate with other schools/districts to continually improve the use of Link Crew at WHS.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

5,000.00

## Source(s)

## Strategy/Activity 4

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## ALL

## Strategy/Activity

Saturday School - to provide students support with credentialed teachers to assist students with preparing for assessments, improving grades and scores on assignments, and offering remediation.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
10,000
5,000

Source(s)

## Supplemental/Concentration

Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 5

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## ALL

Strategy/Activity
A VSA (Variable service agreement) for a counseling technician that will support our students and families. The counseling tech provides supports including: translations, resources for families that are available, helping with scheduling, contacting parents to set up meetings, scheduling ELAC, communication to parents, grade checks.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
16,000

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 6

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL

## Strategy/Activity

Increase security on campus during the active time of day. - VSA 4 hours each day

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
14,000

Source(s)

## Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 7

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## PUENTE Students

## Strategy/Activity

Field trips, professional development, subs and supplies

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
10,000

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Improve the English proficiency and academic achievement of English Learners.

## Goal 4

Improve the English proficiency and academic achievement of English Learners.

## Identified Need

WHS had 27 students eligible for seal of biliteracy, and that should increase. Lower the number of long term EL's from $55 \%$ to below $50 \%$.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increase the Reclassification rate for English Learners. | $12.5 \%$ of WHS students are RFEP (Reclassified fully English Proficient) | Increase reclassification rate to 15\% |
| Show growth on the English Learner Progress Indicator (CA School Dashboard). | 17.1\% Level 4 Well Developed, 29.5\% Level 3 Moderately Developed, 25.7\% Level 2 somewhat Developed, 27.6\% Level 1 beginning | Increase Level 3 to 35\% Increase Level 4 to 25\% Decrease level 2 to 20\% Decrease Level 1 to 20\% |
| Decrease the number of Long Term English Learners (LTEL) | Roughly $55 \%$ of our EL's are LTELS, it should be noted that the number of EL's are now declining to single digit percentage. | Decrease LTEL percentage to less than 50\% |
| Increase the number of State Seals of Biliteracy(SOB) awarded to students (high school only). | For the 2018-2019 school year, 27 students were eligible for the seal of biliteracy. | Increase students eligible for the SOB to 50 students. |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL
Strategy/Activity

Provide tutors from the learning center for push in support for our english language learners.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
12,000.00

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
English Learners
Strategy/Activity
Release time for teachers to meet with EL staff and parents to discuss el student progress.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

2,000.00

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## English learners

## Strategy/Activity

ELAC meetings will occur once a month. The EL (English Learner) Specialist will meet with the officers to plan agendas for the meetings, meetings will be facilitated by parents. The EL Specialist and Principal will meet with the ELAC parents to discuss district/school programs. At these meetings parents will provide feedback on implementation of various initiatives. Allocated funding will support light refreshments, day care and other incentives to increase parent participation. Administration and staff will work together to provide school-wide information in English and Spanish to improve communication with parents. Punjabi and Urdu translation will also be utilized when necessary.I Supplies, general supplies, childcare, translations and food.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
1,500.00

## Source(s)

Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

## Strategy/Activity 4

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

 (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
## Parent Liaison

Our parent liaison position is a critical role at our school. The person helps bridge the language gap for our parents and ensures there is an opportunity for all parents to become engaged. The role is that of translating, scheduling meetings, meeting with parents and being the liaison between counselors, admin, teachers and parents.

Strategy/Activity

## ALL

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
17,000
1,140

Source(s)

## Supplemental/Concentration

Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Excellence for ALL students is supported through meaningful stakeholder engagement.

## Goal 5

Excellence for ALL students is supported through meaningful stakeholder engagement.

## Identified Need

Engage parents and encourage increased participation in school wide events. Low number of parents attend meetings, and we need to survey the stakeholders to identify the reason for low participation.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Increase participation rate of |
| parents at SSC (school site |
| council) /ELAC/PTA (parent |
| teacher association)/Boosters |
| to represent diversity of student |
| demographics. |

Increase parent/family satisfaction to high on the healthy kids survey

Increase use of technology tools and applications by site staff to communicate with parents about student progress.

Baseline/Actual Outcome
Approximately 9\% of students our identified EL's at WHS- We have on average 10 parents that attend, so roughly $10 \%$ of our EL parents attend. Our site council garners just the members of the council which is 4 parents. We do not have a PTA at WHS.

Will establish baseline next year

Remind me app is site wide with $25 \%$ enrolled. Facebook and Instagram provide additional communication.

## Expected Outcome

With increased messaging, ideally there would be an increase to 15 parents regularly attending the site council meetings/elac meetings. With increased diversity, it would be representative of our school wide demographics.
Will establish outcome after baseline

With increased outreach, parents will join the text messaging to increase the participation to $1 / 3$ participation.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity <br> (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

ALL

Strategy/Activity
Back to School Night
Our back to school night is an opportunity for our community to learn about the school year for their student. The importance of building relationships with the parents is critical towards academic success. This night continues to grow in participation and opportunities for families to become engaged with WHS community. - Supplies - this includes providing water for guests, helping fund culinary so food can be distributed that evening to promote the pathway, and miscellaneous costs.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

## Source(s)

## 1,000.00

## Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## ALL

## Strategy/Activity

Graduation from Woodland High School, brings a large number of community members to celebrate the next generation, thus building relationships with families. (supplies, programs, diplomas...etc) We also pay for sound system as well.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
2,000.00

Source(s)
Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL

## Strategy/Activity

## Scholarship Night

Our scholarship night is an opportunity for our community to join the wolfpack and acknowledge students that have exhibited our 3 P's. Positive, productive and professional. We will come together for scholarship night to celebrate our students and honor our community. -
awards/supplies

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
500.00

Source(s)
Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## ALL

Strategy/Activity
Open House
The Spring open house is a time for our community to come and celebrate a year filled with learning. In addition, our counselors utilize this time to talk about the four year process and we invite our incoming 9th graders to attend. This includes buying supplies, copy costs for flyers and teachers, water, money for food for culinary.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

500.00

Source(s)
Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 5

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL

Strategy/Activity

## Art Show

Our incredible VAPA (visual and performing arts) department provides an evening showcasing our resident artists with various medias. Included in the event is our culinary department and music department. They both provide treats made by students and an incredible jazz performance. The night resembles a true art show and has become a school favorite.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
500.00

## Source(s)

## Site Discretionary

## Strategy/Activity 6

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## ALL

## Strategy/Activity

School Field Trips - transportation, entry fee, and subs
Exposing the students to experiences of visiting places that enrich their educational experiences and provides opportunities to learn more about the world is imperative. Then, connecting it back to their own learning helps with not only engagement but also it inspires students to work harder and achieve their dreams, thus increasing engagement with the school and community. Possible field trips include: aquarium, Yosemite, universities ,Puente program visits and other content specific locations. This strategy helps with promoting positive school climate, keeps students engaged, allows for students to have extended learning opportunities outside of the classroom walls.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
20,000.00

12,000.00

## Source(s)

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Supplemental/Concentration

## Strategy/Activity 7

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
ALL
Strategy/Activity

## Science Fair

All students participate in a science fair that exposes them to the STEAM (Science technology art and math) world. It is an opportunity to showcase to the community what they have learned and also to put their hypothesis to the test. The community comes out to support the students and learn about the STEAM world at WHS. In addition, awards are given out to the students in a variety of categories.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
500.00

## Source(s)

Site Discretionary

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

Description
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds
List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If
the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

## Federal Programs

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

## Allocation (\$)

\$91,973.00
\$2,640.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: $\$ 94,613.00$
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

## State or Local Programs

## Site Discretionary

Supplemental/Concentration

## Allocation (\$)

\$127,022.00
\$201,891.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$328,913.00
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$423,526.00

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

4 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
3 Parent or Community Members
3 Secondary Students

| Name of Members | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Karrie Sequeira | Principal |
| Janice Rose | Classroom Teacher |
| Eric Dyer | Classroom Teacher |
| Charles Bruns | Classroom Teacher |
| Max Shellnut | Classroom Teacher |
| Dawn Mc Bride | Parent or Community Member |
| Eric Wilson | Parent or Community Member or Community Member |
| Kara Green | Secondary Student |
| Alexia Johnson | Secondary Student |
| Ariana Amado | Secondary Student |
| Anahi Mora | Other School Staff |
| Marty Buchignanj |  |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

## Signature

## Committee or Advisory Group Name

RT. 5-8-19-
English Learner Advisory Committee
The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on.

## Attested:



